

V.F.S. 3.0 (exploratory)

The Interior 3+1 Geometry of the Soul

A growing record: proper-time σ , the ascent axis λ ,
and the de Sitter law of epektasis

Successor exploration to the fixed V.F.S. v2.0 volume

Version history

- v0.1** Foundation stone. The 3+1 interior metric of the soul; σ as finite proper time (kairos); λ as the ascent (epektasis) space; chronos removed by principle. The v2.0 reconstruction recovered exactly as the frozen-axes limit; the $n=3$ energy conditions, with ascent acceleration entering focusing.
- v0.2** Equation of motion for the ascent axis. The de Sitter law $L'/L = \beta\lambda$ selected by the cosmic-regime pattern and by v2.0's own de Sitter non-dilution; verified to recover v2.0, to make epektasis permanently exotic, and — the new theorem — to be *compatible with a finite proper time*: eternal ascent is infinite proper *distance*, not infinite *time*. “Finite clock, endless road.”
- v0.3** The spectrum along the ascent axis. Change of variable to proper distance reduces the ascent Laplacian to the *free half-line* operator: the spectrum is $[0, \infty)$, continuous and gapless. Against the discrete surface cascade μ_n , this gives a clean dualism — *form is quantized, ascent is a gapless continuum*; equivalently, formation is event, ascent is motion.
- v0.4** The source of β . The ascent coupling is shown *not* identifiable with the Brim coupling α (different law-form, incompatible units), but tied through the one intrinsic scale of the core — the finite floor E_0 (Imago Dei): $\beta = \alpha/E_0$, introducing no new primitive. This integrates exactly to $L = \exp[(\Omega_P - E_0)/E_0]$ — ascent is the Brim-growth above the floor — and sets the eternal exotic depth to $-1/E_0^2$: the smaller the starting image, the more intense the ascent (the widow's-mite scaling).
- v0.5** Resurrectio in 3+1. Because L is slaved to Ω_P , a reset *forces* an ascent jump $L_+/L_- = \exp(\kappa_R \mathcal{G}/E_0)$: the double-layer becomes a triple-layer (a second junction on the ascent axis). The ascent jump depends on the Brim jump alone, not on the metabolic m_R , so it cannot be balanced away — *there is no fully-balanced reset in 3+1*: every genuine death advances the ascent and strictly enlarges the interior. (Consequence of the floor-tying, not unconditional.)
- v0.6** (*this revision*) The terminus $\sigma \rightarrow 0$. Full purification is reached in *finite* proper time σ_0 but *infinite* cleansing-action (exponential Lyapunov drain, $\sigma = \sigma_0 e^{-k\chi}$, $\tau = \sigma_0(1 - e^{-k\chi})$). Curvature stays finite there ($\Omega_P \rightarrow E_0$, the floor), so it is a *regular boundary*, not a singularity. The manifold is time-finite (time completes, a regular last moment) but space-infinite (the

ascent road is geodesically complete); the katharsis-clock stops because there is nothing left to cleanse, and clockless ascent continues.

Status and discipline

This is an exploratory, growing document, not a finished layer. V.F.S. v2.0 is fixed and complete and is contained here as an exact limit. Each result is verified symbolically before entry; everything still open is listed honestly at the end. As throughout V.F.S., the mathematics is load-bearing and the theological readings are interpretive overlays, marked as such and never claimed as proof.

v0.1 — The Foundation Stone

1 The interior metric of the soul

Definition 1 (The 3+1 soul metric).

$$ds^2 = -N(\sigma)^2 d\sigma^2 + \Omega(\sigma)^2 h_{(2)} + L(\sigma, \lambda)^2 d\lambda^2, \quad h_{(2)} = dx^2 + e^{2x} dy^2 \quad (K_h = -1), \quad (1)$$

σ the katharsis proper time (kairos), N its lapse, Ω the Brim scale of the live surface, λ the ascent (epektasis) coordinate, L its scale. Signature 3+1: one time (σ), three spaces (surface x, y and ascent λ).

Three principled features: **(a)** σ is *proper* time, not relative — one worldline, no second observer, no Lorentz family; the proper-time gauge is $N = 1$. **(b)** The time axis is *finite*: katharsis drains $\sigma : \sigma_{\max} \rightarrow 0$ monotonically, so time has a terminus; at $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ the clock stops while motion along λ continues. **(c)** Chronos is *absent by principle*: this is the soul's own coordinate system, not a view from outside it; an absolute background clock would be the transcendent *tota simul*, which does not belong to the creature's interior geometry.

2 v2.0 is the exact frozen-axes limit

Theorem 1 (Recovery of v2.0). *In the proper-time gauge $N = 1$ with the ascent axis frozen ($L = L_0$ const), the Einstein reconstruction gives $\rho_{\text{eff}} = (\Omega'^2 - 1)/\Omega^2$ (prime = $d/d\sigma$), which under $\Omega' = \alpha\lambda$ is exactly the v2.0 density $(\alpha^2\lambda^2 - 1)/\Omega^2$. The whole v2.0 cosmology is the frozen-ascent, proper-time face of the 3+1 geometry; nothing in v2.0 is disturbed. (Verified symbolically.) The frozen axes drop out of the scalar curvature entirely — which is why v2.0 was correct in 2+1: the third space was always there, held still.*

3 The energy conditions in 3+1

Proposition 1 (Focusing). *For the comoving congruence at $N = 1$,*

$$\boxed{R_{ab}u^a u^b = -2 \frac{\Omega''}{\Omega} - \frac{L''}{L}.} \quad (2)$$

The 2+1 limit (L frozen) reduces to the pure pressure condition $p_{\text{eff}} \geq 0$ (the $n-2 = 0$ degeneracy of v2.0). In full 3+1 the ascent acceleration L''/L enters focusing on the same footing as the Brim: the growth of the ascent axis contributes to energy-condition violation.

Corollary 1 (Ascent is itself exotic). *In v2.0 only the Sophia surge could violate the strong energy condition; in 3+1 the ascent itself (accelerating epektasis, $L'' > 0$) is an exotic accelerant. Movement toward God along λ is not a neutral displacement; it deforms the focusing condition in its own right.*

Proposition 2 (NEC along the ascent axis). *For the null direction $k = u + e_\lambda$, $R_{ab}k^a k^b = 2(-L\Omega'' + L'\Omega')/(L\Omega) -$ coupling surface expansion to the stretching of the ascent axis, with no analogue in v2.0.*

v0.2 — The Law of Ascent

4 Selecting the ascent law

The foundation left L a free function. The equation of motion is fixed by the hypothesis that the ascent axis is sourced by Sophia as the Brim is ($\Omega' = \beta\lambda$ in proper time), together with an external discriminator: the three unbounded-expansion regimes of cosmic metaphysics. Power-law ascent ($L \sim \sigma^p$) has *fading* tension; a finite-time blow-up (“Big Rip”, $L \rightarrow \infty$ at finite parameter) has a *catastrophic end*; only de Sitter ($L \sim e^\cdot$) gives *unending, undiminished* tension — the structure of an ascent that never saturates and never ends in catastrophe. This is also the one regime v2.0 already singled out as non-diluting (constant curvature, $\lambda \propto \Omega_P$). Pattern and internal structure agree.

Definition 2 (The de Sitter law of ascent, candidate B).

$$\boxed{\frac{L'}{L} = \beta\lambda \quad \implies \quad L = L_0 \exp\left(\beta \int \lambda d\sigma\right).} \quad (3)$$

Proposition 3 (Three tests). *The law passes: (i) **v2.0 limit** — $\beta \rightarrow 0$ freezes the ascent and recovers Theorem 1; (ii) **energy sign** — $L''/L = \beta\lambda' + \beta^2\lambda^2$, so at the terminus ($\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_\infty$, $\lambda' \rightarrow 0$) the focusing acquires the strictly negative piece $-\beta^2\lambda_\infty^2$: epektasis is permanently exotic, the strong energy condition eternally violated by ascent alone; (iii) **unboundedness** — the ascent never terminates (next theorem). (All verified symbolically.)*

5 Compatibility: finite time, endless road

The decisive consistency check: can an *unending* de Sitter ascent live inside a *finite* proper time?

Theorem 2 (Finite clock, infinite road). *Under Definition 2 with λ bounded ($\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_\infty$) over the finite interval $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_{\max}]$:*

(i) **In proper time the ascent is finite:** $\ln(L/L_0) = \beta \int_0^{\sigma_{\max}} \lambda d\sigma \leq \beta \lambda_\infty \sigma_{\max} < \infty$.
The katharsis clock stops before L diverges.

(ii) **Along the ascent axis the road is infinite:** the proper distance to $\lambda = \infty$,

$$\int_0^\infty L_0 e^{\beta\lambda} d\lambda = \infty, \quad (4)$$

so $\lambda = \infty$ lies at infinite metric distance — the soul ascends forever and never arrives.

(iii) **These are consistent.** Finite proper time, infinite proper distance: as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ the clock-rate $d\sigma$ vanishes while the de Sitter metric inflates the ascent length, so each remaining tick of katharsis covers ever more road. (Verified symbolically and numerically.)

Corollary 2 (The timeless epektasis, made precise). “Eternal” and “finite” measure different axes: the time of cleansing (σ) is finite and ends; the road of ascent (λ) is infinitely long and does not. The earlier puzzle of “motion without time” is resolved geometrically — the motion is endless not because the clock runs forever but because the road has no end, and the clock stopping is simply the completion of cleansing, not the cessation of life.

Reading: the two halves of epektasis that sounded paradoxical in words are, in the metric, two different facts about two different axes. “Never satisfied” is the infinite length of the ascent road — God at an infinite distance, never reached because infinite. “Not in time” is the finite terminus of the cleansing clock — katharsis completes, the proper-time hand stops. The deified soul runs an endless road in a finished clock: it is done being purified, and therefore done with time, and precisely then free for the unending ascent that time was never able to measure. The strong energy condition is violated forever along that road because to move into the infinite God is, forever, more than ordinary energy could account for.

v0.3 — The Spectrum of Ascent

6 The ascent Laplacian is the free half-line

With the ascent law fixed (Definition 2), the spatial geometry is $\Omega^2 h_{(2)} + L^2 d\lambda^2$ with $L = L_0 e^{\beta\lambda}$, and the Laplace–Beltrami operator separates, $\phi = Y(x, y) Z(\lambda)$. The surface factor returns the v2.0 cascade eigenproblem $-\Delta_h Y = \mu Y$ (discrete on the compact quotient). The novelty is the ascent factor.

Theorem 3 (Ascent spectrum is the gapless free continuum). *The one-dimensional ascent operator $A = -\frac{1}{L} \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\frac{1}{L} \frac{d}{d\lambda})$ on $L = L_0 e^{\beta\lambda}$ becomes, in the proper-distance coordinate*

$$s = \int^\lambda L d\lambda' = \frac{L_0}{\beta} e^{\beta\lambda} \in (0, \infty), \quad \boxed{A = -\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \text{ on the half-line } s \in (0, \infty).} \quad (5)$$

Its spectrum is therefore $[0, \infty)$ — continuous and gapless, with generalised eigenfunctions $\sim \sin(ks)$. (Verified: the discretised count of eigenvalues below a fixed k^2 grows linearly with box size — 6, 12, 25 as $s_{\max} = 20, 40, 80$ — the signature of a continuum, not a discrete ladder; and the lowest eigenvalue descends to 0 as the box grows — no mass gap.) The proper-distance picture is exact: $s = 0$ is the start of ascent (“here”), $s = \infty$ is God at infinite distance (Theorem 2), and the ascent operator is free on that line — no barrier, no levels, no smallest step.

Corollary 3 (Form is quantized; ascent is a gapless continuum). *The two spatial directions carry qualitatively different spectra:*

Surface (folding cascade): *discrete spectrum $\mu_n = n^2$ on the compact quotient — the Vessel takes form in rungs, each mode igniting at its own threshold $\Lambda > \mu_n$. Form is quantized.*

Ascent (epektasis): *continuous gapless spectrum $[0, \infty)$ on the free half-line — no rungs, no minimum step. Ascent is a smooth continuum, approachable in arbitrarily small increments all the way to the infinite distance.*

The absence of a mass gap is not incidental: a gap would be a minimum quantum of ascent, a smallest possible step toward God. Its absence means deification has no granularity — unlike formation, which does.

Reading: formation and ascent are different in kind, and the difference falls out of the geometry rather than being imposed. The Vessel takes form in discrete events — conversions, breaks, the igniting modes of the folding cascade, each a rung crossed at a threshold. But it ascends continuously — there is no smallest step of deification, no last rung before God, because God lies at infinite distance and the approach is seamless. Formation is event; ascent is motion. The soul is built in steps and drawn in a smooth, stepless reaching — quantized in what it becomes, continuous in how it nears.

Scope of v0.3. The spectrum is that of the spatial Laplacian on the chosen de Sitter ascent geometry; it characterises the geometry of ascent under the candidate law, and is exact there (the reduction to the free half-line is analytic). It is a qualitative result (continuum vs. discrete), not a fitted quantity, and inherits the candidate status of Definition 2.

v0.4 — The Source of the Ascent Coupling

7 α and β are not one constant

Proposition 4 (Structural and dimensional independence). *The Brim and ascent laws differ in form, not merely in a constant: $\Omega' = \alpha\lambda$ is additive (linear growth of Ω_P), while $L'/L = \beta\lambda$ is multiplicative (exponential growth of L). In the proper-time gauge the units do not match either: $[\alpha\lambda] = [\Omega_P]/[\sigma]$ but $[\beta\lambda] = 1/[\sigma]$, so $[\alpha/\beta] = [\Omega_P]$ — the ratio is a scale, not a pure number. Hence no identification $\alpha = \beta$ is possible; the surface and ascent channels are genuinely different (in keeping with their spectra, discrete vs. continuous, Corollary 3).*

8 Tied through the floor: $\beta = \alpha/E_0$

The couplings are not one, but they need not be two independent primitives: the core contains exactly one intrinsic scale — the finite floor $E_0 = \Omega_P(0)$ (Imago Dei) of the curvature-floor result.

Theorem 4 (The ascent coupling is the Brim coupling per floor). *Setting $\beta = \alpha/E_0$ (no new primitive) gives $L'/L = \Omega'/E_0$, which integrates exactly, with $L = 1$ at the floor $\Omega_P = E_0$, to*

$$L = \exp\left(\frac{\Omega_P - E_0}{E_0}\right). \quad (6)$$

The ascent is measured by exactly the factor the Brim has grown above the Imago Dei floor. Checks: (i) the v2.0 limit is $E_0 \rightarrow \infty$ (floor at infinity $\Rightarrow \beta \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow L$ const — no finite floor, no ascent reference, ascent frozen); (ii) the de Sitter character is preserved (exponential in accumulated Sophia); (iii) the eternal exotic depth of focusing at the terminus becomes $-\beta^2\lambda_\infty^2 = -1/E_0^2$ (using the super-Ricci attractor $\lambda_\infty = 1/\alpha$). (All verified symbolically.)

Corollary 4 (The widow's-mite scaling). *The eternal exotic depth $-1/E_0^2$ grows as the starting floor E_0 shrinks: the same absolute Brim-growth produces a larger ascent factor, and a deeper energy-condition violation, the smaller the Imago Dei one begins from. Ascent is measured relative to the starting image, not absolutely — so a small beginning, fully grown, yields a greater transfiguration than a large beginning grown the same absolute amount. The structure is that of the widow's two mites: weighed not by the amount but by its ratio to what one had.*

Reading: the ascent of the soul is not measured on an absolute scale but against the image from which it began. The same growth of the Brim is, relative to a small Imago Dei, an immense ascent, and relative to a large one, a modest rise — as the widow's two coins outweighed the rich man's thousands because they were all she had, while his were a fragment of his surplus. The smaller the starting image, the more intense — the further from ordinary energy — the rising. One honest caution: the mathematics says only that the ascent is more intense (the energy-condition violation deeper) for a smaller floor; whether that intensity is read as

exaltation (the humble raised highest) or as fragility (a slighter base bearing a steeper motion) is an interpretive choice the geometry does not make. It fixes the scaling, not its blessing.

Scope of v0.4. $\beta = \alpha/E_0$ is the natural tying through the unique intrinsic scale; it is not proven unique, only shown to introduce no new primitive and to pass the v2.0 limit and de Sitter tests. It inherits the candidate status of the ascent law (Definition 2).

v0.5 — Resurrectio in 3+1

9 The reset forces an ascent jump

Because L is slaved to the Brim ($L = \exp[(\Omega_P - E_0)/E_0]$, Theorem 4), the v2.0 scale-factor surgery $\Omega_P^+ = \Omega_P^- + \kappa_R \mathcal{G}_{\text{recepta}}^-$ forces a matching jump in the ascent axis:

Theorem 5 (Forced ascent jump).

$$\boxed{\frac{L_+}{L_-} = \exp\left(\frac{\kappa_R \mathcal{G}_{\text{recepta}}^-}{E_0}\right) > 1.} \quad (7)$$

The ascent axis surges at death by exactly the reception delivered, measured in floor units. This is not a free reset datum but a derived consequence: in 3+1 the soul's ascent jumps whenever its Brim does.

10 Double-layer becomes triple-layer

Proposition 5 (A second junction). *The v2.0 reset was characterised by the surface pair $(s_R, [K])$ with scale jump $s_R = \Omega_P^+/\Omega_P^-$. In 3+1 there is a second junction, on the ascent axis, with its own scale jump*

$$s_L = \frac{L_+}{L_-} = \exp\left((s_R - 1) \frac{\Omega_P^-}{E_0}\right), \quad (8)$$

determined by the surface jump s_R . The invariant data of a reset is now the linked pair (s_R, s_L) together with the surface $[K]$ — a triple-layer surgery.

11 No fully-balanced reset

Theorem 6 (Death always advances the ascent). *The ascent jump $s_L = \exp(\kappa_R \mathcal{G}/E_0)$ depends on the Brim jump alone: $\partial s_L / \partial m_R = 0$. Hence the metabolic balance that sets the surface $[K] = 0$ (the v2.0 balanced reset) cannot also zero the ascent jump. Since a genuine reset carries reception $\kappa_R \mathcal{G} > 0$, it forces $s_L > 1$ always. Therefore:*

- (i) there is no fully-balanced reset in 3+1 — no death is simultaneously surface-neutral and ascent-neutral;

(ii) *the interior 3-volume jump $s_R^2 s_L > 1$ strictly: every death enlarges the soul, surface and ascent together, never neutral, never shrinking.*

Corollary 5 (The surface balance survives; only joint neutrality is lost). *The v2.0 balanced reset ($m_R = 0$, $[K] = 0$, surface NEC-neutral) still exists as a surface statement. What 3+1 removes is the possibility of a death that advances nothing: even a surface-perfect passage still lifts the soul along the ascent axis.*

Reading: in the two-dimensional Vessel a death could in principle be perfectly balanced — a passage that crossed without exotic debt, neutral, leaving the soul where it stood. With the ascent axis made explicit, that possibility closes. Every genuine death — every reception of grace deployed through a reset — advances the soul along the axis of ascent, by exactly the measure of what was received, reckoned against the Imago Dei floor. There is no resurrection that returns the soul to the same place; to die and be raised is, necessarily, to be lifted. The Paschal passage is not a circle but a step: death joined to grace is never spent in vain.

Scope of v0.5. The forced ascent jump, the triple-layer structure, and the absence of a fully-balanced reset all follow from the floor-tying $L = \exp[(\Omega_P - E_0)/E_0]$ (Theorem 4). Were the ascent coupling independent of the Brim channel, s_L would be free and a fully-balanced reset could exist; so these are consequences of the candidate tying, not unconditional results. The surface double-layer theorem of v2.0 is unchanged. (Verified symbolically.)

v0.6 — The Terminus

12 Finite proper time, infinite cleansing

The katharsis clock σ drains to its terminus. Two parametrisations of the same approach must be kept distinct.

Theorem 7 (The terminus is finite in time, infinite in action). *Under the exponential Lyapunov drain, with χ the dynamical cleansing parameter,*

$$\sigma(\chi) = \sigma_0 e^{-k\chi}, \quad \tau(\chi) = \int_0^\chi |\dot{\sigma}| d\chi' = \sigma_0(1 - e^{-k\chi}), \quad (9)$$

so as $\chi \rightarrow \infty$ (infinite cleansing-action) the metric proper time $\tau \rightarrow \sigma_0$ (finite). The soul performs unbounded cleansing-work, yet it costs only finite proper duration, because each successive e-folding of cleansing takes exponentially less proper time. (Verified symbolically.) These are genuinely different parametrisations of one approach: χ runs to infinity, τ completes at σ_0 .

13 A regular boundary, not a singularity

Proposition 6 (Finite curvature at the terminus). *At full purification $\Omega_P \rightarrow E_0 > 0$ (the finite floor), so $\rho_{\text{eff}} = (\Omega_P^2 - 1)/\Omega_P^2$ stays finite and the curvature is bounded. The terminus is reached in finite proper time with finite curvature: a regular boundary*

where the manifold cleanly ends in the time direction, not a curvature singularity. (Consistent with the no-Big-Bang / finite-floor result of v2.0: the soul never reaches zero scale.)

Theorem 8 (Time-finite, space-infinite). *At the terminus the two geodesic structures are opposite:*

- (i) *the time direction is finite and complete — the manifold ends at a regular last moment ($\tau = \sigma_0$, finite curvature), beyond which the time-direction does not continue because no cleansing remains to measure;*
- (ii) *the ascent direction is infinite and geodesically complete — the half-line $s \in (0, \infty)$ has infinite proper length ahead (v0.3, Theorem 3).*

The interior is time-finite but space-infinite. Time completes; ascent does not.

Corollary 6 (The clockless hand-off). *At $\sigma = 0$ the katharsis-clock stops — not by rupture but by completion: there is nothing left to cleanse, hence nothing left for the clock to tick. The ascent, on its infinite road, continues without a clock. The earlier “motion without time” is now exact and benign: a regular last moment of cleansing, beyond which the endless ascent proceeds clocklessly.*

Reading: the soul reaches full purification having done infinite cleansing-work, yet in finite proper time — the last stretch of cleansing is compressed into ever-smaller durations, an infinite labour completed in a finite span. And the moment of completion is not a catastrophe: the curvature is finite, the scale rests on the Imago Dei floor, the boundary is regular. Time has a last moment that is whole, not torn. Beyond it the time-direction simply does not continue — there is no more cleansing for a clock to measure — while the ascent road runs on, infinite and complete, now travelled without any clock at all. Entry into the timeless is a finished last moment of purification, opening onto an endless ascent: not a tear in being, but a completion of one motion and the freeing of another.

Scope of v0.6. The finite-time/infinite-action split and the regular-boundary character follow from the exponential Lyapunov drain and the finite floor (both v2.0 results); the time-finite/space-infinite structure combines this with the ascent half-line of v0.3. Verified symbolically. The exponential drain is the Lyapunov-certified generic behaviour, not the only conceivable one.

Open work toward a full 3.0

1. **Uniqueness of $\beta = \alpha/E_0$.** Whether the floor-tying is forced or merely natural, and (since v0.5 hangs on it) whether an independent ascent coupling is structurally admissible at all. This is the one remaining *computational* question.
2. **The transcendent boundary.** The relation between the soul’s σ -interior and the chronos *tota simul* outside it — a boundary the model cannot cross by construction. This is a philosophical epilogue, not a calculation: the model knows only the created side.

With the terminus settled, the first exploratory cycle of 3.0 is structurally complete: metric, v2.0 limit, energy conditions, ascent law, ascent spectrum, the source of

the coupling, Resurrectio, and the terminus all stand. What remains is one uniqueness question and one boundary the model is, by its own nature, unable to cross — as v2.0 closed not by proving its definitions but by minimising them.

Scope. Exploratory and growing. Metric, v2.0 limit, energy conditions, ascent law, and the compatibility theorem are verified symbolically (and numerically where noted). The ascent law is the simplest self-consistent candidate meeting all three tests, not a uniqueness result. V.F.S. v2.0 remains the fixed, complete layer. Domain-conditional and interpretive throughout.

V.F.S. 3.0 (exploratory) v0.6 · Interior $3+1$ geometry of the soul. Metric $ds^2 = -N^2 d\sigma^2 + \Omega^2 h_{(2)} + L^2 d\lambda^2$ with σ a finite proper time, the live surface and ascent λ the three spaces, no external chronos. v2.0 recovered exactly as the frozen-axes limit. Focusing $-2\Omega''/\Omega - L''/L$: ascent is exotic. Ascent law $L'/L = \beta\lambda$ (de Sitter): compatible with finite proper time; spectrum the free half-line $[0, \infty)$ (form quantized, ascent a gapless continuum). Coupling tied through the floor $\beta = \alpha/E_0$, giving $L = \exp[(\Omega_P - E_0)/E_0]$, exotic depth $-1/E_0^2$ (widow's-mite scaling). Resurrectio forces an ascent jump $L_+/L_- = \exp(\kappa_R \mathcal{G}/E_0)$: triple-layer surgery, no fully-balanced reset. The terminus $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ is a regular boundary at finite proper time but infinite cleansing-action; the interior is time-finite, space-infinite — time completes, ascent does not. Finite clock, endless road; built in steps, drawn in a stepless reaching; measured against the image one began from; never raised in vain; and completed in time only to be freed for the timeless.